

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMESSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Boy 1340 Alexandria, Airginia 22313-1450 www.uspio.giv

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/010.916	12/06/2001	Ted Alspach	07844-514001	6109
21876 FISH & RICH.	7590 03/08/2007 ARDSON P.C	EXAMINER		
P.O. Box 1022		JONES, HUGH M		
MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55440-1022			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2128	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/08/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Bassanas to Bula 242 Communication	10/010,916	ALSPACH, TED	
Response to Rule 312 Communication	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Hugh Jones	2128	
The MAILING DATE of this communication	appears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address –	
. Me The amendment filed on 16 February 2007 under 37 C	FR 1.312 has been considere	d, and has been:	
a) entered.			
b)  entered as directed to matters of form not affecting	ng the scope of the invention.		
c) disapproved because the amendment was filed a	after the payment of the issue	fee.	
Any amendment filed after the date the issue		ied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.313(c)(1)	
and the required fee to withdraw the application	on from issue.		
d) 🛛 disapproved. See explanation below.			
e)  entered in part. See explanation below.			
The amendment changes the scope of the claims and not further consideration in view of the specification. It also claims may sufficiently modify other preceding "means to disclosure and whether 112-6 <sup>th</sup> paragraph is invoked. For equivalents, See MPEP 2105, 2181, Finally, Applicants the structure. More than a cursory review is required.	appears that some of the "mea for" limitations, thus raising qua outhermore, the new "means fo	ans for "limitations in the dependent estions about enablement, written or" limitations raise issues about	
•		•	
· ·		•	